

installation is in the hands of the ~~pe~~ presbytery . Well now, this is a ~~xxx~~ system which is in between the heirarchical and the congregational system. Because it puts in the hands of a body of experts the determination as to whether a man has the qualifications. And then it puts in the ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ hands of the congregation as a whole the selection among these men who are deemed to have the qualifications. It is halfway in~~x~~ between.

(question) I would say that there are dangers in this exterm~~e~~. When you put a system in here, there is a danger that you will go in one direction or the other. But the danger in either direction is not as great as there was already. You can't possibly be. ~~xxxx~~ Compared with the Methodists. You get the wrong man as bishop and the whole situation goes. You get the presbytery with fifty men in it, ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ if you get the majority back of it, it controls. But it is possible for the process to be interupted long before it gets that far if people are told it. If people go to ~~xxxxxx~~ sleep, any system will go bad/ But with the bishop system, you get the wrong man as bishop and you are like that. With the Pres. system, you get a wrong memeber of the presbytery and if the rest are on their toes, they catch on to him.

(question) Well, now, I am speaking here at the moment of ideal ideas, rahter than of situations. That is, if you have a situation in which the bishop and dther people who join~~x~~ with him in selection, he has to listen to them, you ~~x~~ don't have ^{a strict} ~~xxxx~~ hierarchical system, you have a system which is about over here or here. But, I am speaking first about the two extremes. And YOU have suggested a p~~o~~ssibility that might be about here. ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ YOU might examine it to see if the system is in operation, and just what ~~ix~~ it is. And we probably will find such a system. But I ~~xxxxix~~ was giving a third ideal, as compared to the two extremes. The middle ideal, which is an attempt to realize something of the value which you have in the hierarchical system, where suposedly the leader or the preacher is selected by an expert or a group of experts. And the congrea~~g~~tional system in which supposedly he is elected by the ~~pe~~ople. And the halfway between point, you might say, is where the ~~funct~~ion of selection is divided/ into two parts. And instead of ~~ix~~ having the