

call the dispensational interpretation which says, This goes up to the 2nd ~~part~~^{coming}
 Now, how could seventy weeks go up to the second ~~part~~ coming? That's the
 question. Is there a principle involved in making it go up that is without
 warrant, or parallel ~~in~~ elsewhere in the book? Or is there a parallel in the
 book for such a view? Now that is a vital question we want to study into. I
 don't think we are quite ready for it yet, tho perhaps I did wrong in introducing
 the matter yet, because we don't want to ~~it~~ get into it until we have a little
 more background for it. I think the next thing to do is to work in ch.11. Let's
 look into those vv in ch.11 and see what conclusion is reached about them by
 the various commentators, and whether ch.11 should refer all to A.E., which many
 many critics all hold, and if you do, how do you get the resurrection into it?
 That's the problem. Altho otherwise it wouldn't make so much of a problem.
 ch.8 ends with A.E., but it doesn't say about any resurrection, or ~~anything~~
 of the saints coming after it. Here, if it wasn't for the resurrection we
 might ~~have~~ not have so much difficulty in applying 11 just to A.E. Or to take
 the view that ch.11 refers to A.E., and looks forward to the first coming of
 Christ. You read the introduction to Young's book. And he says the book is
 talking about two things, Daniel is dealing with A.E., and with the coming of
 Christ, by which he means the first coming. I mean, he says other words which
 make it clear as to what the first coming is. Well, where is it in ch.11?
 Where do you have anything about it? I don't know of anybody who takes this
 view of ch.11. But now the third view, ch.11 talks about A.E., and about
 something still future. How do you fit them? Are you going to say everything
 in it refers both to A.E., and to somebody still future? That's rather non-
 sensical. Are you going to say everything in it refers to A.E., and if we
 want to pick out a few things to say they refer to the future, also they may,
 that's rather nonsensical. Does it ~~start~~ start in with A.E., and then does it
 shift to somebody else. And if so, when does it shift, and how does that come
 about, and when in the future does it come about. I think perhaps we had better
 work on 11 rather intensively, so study into 11 as intensively as you can, and
 be ready for a discussion of it next. Well stop for today, and we'll meet at
 8:00.....