

it speaks of the ~~say~~ day of their creation, ...and Jesus said Abraham saw my day and was glad. The word day is used in these various ways, and just which way it is used in Genesis 1 I don't think we can be dogmatic on. Personally if God chose to create all the universe in one instance...I think the Lord could do it if He chose, but if ~~he~~ He chose to do it in an entirely different way... but just how long ~~it~~ it took I don't think the Bible tells us.

Ans. Now, a ^{rase}paraphrase sometimes is much better than a translation, because in a translation you have to go more or less word ~~or~~ for word and your words don't exactly correspond. You read your ...and then you decide what it means and then you put ~~it~~ it into your own words. That is a paraphrase. A translation is suppose to ~~give~~ give you what the translators-~~thing~~- think, but it is also suppose to be near enough the original that a person can see ~~whether~~ whether they agree with their text. And Philip's is a paraphrase, and as a ~~para-~~para paraphrase it is excellent. It tells us exactly what Philip's- thinks it means. And doubtless in many ~~cases~~ cases it is exactly right, but in other cases it is not.

Ans. I will say that the New English Bible is a ~~paraphrase-~~paraphrase.-~~It is-~~ Even some of the liberal scholars say that it ~~is-~~ departs so much from the original that it gives us what those modernistic ~~schol-~~scholars think the original meant. And in many ~~pa-~~ places it has very beautiful English, ~~but~~ but it doesn't tell you what the actual Bible is. The RSV comes closer than the New English Bible and The RSV in many sections has an excellent translation, but ~~ter~~ there are other sections where they have departed from the original. There are cases where they have a footnote Heb. obscure and given something entirely different from the original. For instance, when it says in the second ~~chapter-~~ Psalm, Kiss the Son lest He be angry and ye