

at the facts, the facts ~~x~~ do not support the idea of multiple sources for the story of the flood. Well, we could go through this way and look at many of these things if we wanted. The most important argument of all in my opinion is this, if the Christian ~~...~~ if J was written and then ~~...~~ we would find contradictions between...but it usually is explained when we look ~~so~~ carefully at it, but we would find places where ~~when we got~~ ~~we would find~~ new light on this it didn't fit. And that is where God knowing in advance that in this time in Christian history these ~~se~~ ideas would be strong ...and ...in God and Christ and in ...God brought it about ~~that~~ during this last century there would come to light dug up from the soil of Mesopotamia evidences from the history of those ancient times. We ~~x~~ have no other source whatever. Now we have actual material from the men who lived in those ..and when we looked at these materials, it is easy how to see at point after point it ~~is~~ exactly fits with the statements that are contained in the Bible. It does not fit with the sources ~~that~~ as rearranged by the Higher Critics. That is, 150 years ago when this theory began to develop...at that time people ~~so~~ thought that Moses couldn't have written the Pentateuch because there wasn't any ...as early as ...and so it would have to be ~~x~~ as late as ~~x~~...Well, since that time we have dug up from the soil of Egypt and Mesopotamia thousands of writings which were written before the time of Moses, and so there is no question now that writings were readily available ~~and~~ and could have been used at the time of Moses. One very interesting thing ~~x~~ found well before the time of Moses is the Code of Hammurabi which is in this law book which is in the Louve, is a large stone which ~~x~~ has on it the list of the laws, and it says this ~~is a~~ law is ...so that anyone who thinks