I'm afraid that the Dead Sea Scrools, as interesting as ~~there~~ they are, do not

throw much light on the Higher Criticism. Because , according to the critical

theory , the Bible x substantially as we have it would have been in shape bµ

100 B.C. and I don't think there is any definite proof that any of the Dead Sea

~~Serools~~-a--Scrolls are before 100 B.c. , ~~sme~~ some of them might be x a little

bit before , ...that is true, there is a man who is on the committee who produced

the RSV who said that the interpretation of the Virgin-~~Bible~~ Birth was a chrystological

addition to Isaiah. That is purely his unsupported statement. Our earliest document

of Isa. which comes from the time of Christ, or before, contains that verse exactly

as we have it now, but just a word on this two Isa...this is the place where the

men begin to ~~acop~~ accept the criticism more than anywhere else, except for the

Pentateuch, and let me say just a word here. If you divide the book of Isa. at

the end of the 39th chapter, you find that the earlier part deals with-~~the~~- events

in the time of Isa. and from 40 on , it goes on into the more distanxt future, and

deals w particularly with deliverance from exile, and consequently, the Higher

Criticism ~~has~~ had as one of its main points at getting started a very ~~sp~~ simple
                                                              end of the
theory . Ix Isa. 40-66 was written just before the/exile, ~~and~~ 150 years after

~~the~~- Isa. by a man who wrote in the spirit and phraseology of Isa. but was dealt

with ...and then was so much like ₂Ix Isa. that the two got written in one scroll,

and people didn't realize it, and thus we have two-~~Isasa~~-~~Isaiah's~~ Isaiahs. It is
                                                    presented
a very attractive, simple theory that was originally/~~written~~ about 1800 but five
                                                                        make
years after, the people proved that the very-~~arguments~~ arguments that would/Isa.

40-66 written long after Isa.'s time would make nearly half the material from Isa.

1-39 written after Isa.'s time, so today there is hardly a critical scholar that believes

in two Isas. Most of them believe in three main Isaiahs and maybe 20 other minor