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Is there a conflict?

This is a matter of dispute:
- Some see conflict.
- Others see complementarity.
- Still others see a more positive sort of interaction.
Conflict Model

- A conflict between facts and faith:
  - Science is never wrong, or at least self-correcting.
  - Theology is never right, or it's always retreating.

- This is the approach of:
  - Andrew Dickson White, *A History of the Warfare of Science with Theology in Christendom* (1895).
Science and religion each answer a different set of human needs.

The main problem is that each tends to tread on the other's turf.

These two activities should be kept separate.

This is the approach of:
- Howard Van Till
- Stephen Jay Gould
Interaction Model

- Certain religious beliefs are conducive to the practice of science.
- Interaction between science and religion can work for the advantage of both.
- This is the approach of:
  - Alfred North Whitehead
  - Robert K. Merton
History of Science

- The conflict approach is currently being panned by some historians of science:
  - Colin Russell
  - John Hedley Brooke
- But we want to avoid over-reaction in the other direction also.
- It appears there is both conflict and other sorts of interaction.
Sociology of Knowledge

- Sociologists have noted that desire for the truth is not the only (nor even the main) motivation behind most group endeavors.
- We see this is true for various groupings within Christianity:
  - Denominations
  - Congregations
  - Schools
- This is also true in science; see Thomas Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. 
What is in conflict?

- Do we compare 'science' with:
  - Religion?
  - Christianity?
  - Theology?
  - The Bible?

- We suggest:
  - Bible with nature (data)
  - Theology with theoretical science (method)
  - Exegesis with experimental science (interpretation)
Science: method or goal?

- Method?
  - Explanation without recourse to miracle?

- Goal?
  - Trying to understand what really exists?

- Methodologically, 'science' and 'exegesis' are very similar.

- No distinctive method divides various scholarly disciplines in such a way as to make science unique.
As Brooke shows, the relations between the two have been a complex mixture of the three models:

- Conflict
- Complementarity
- Interaction
Is theology never right?

This is rather unfair:

- Nature (general revelation) provides enormous detail.
  - The Bible (special revelation) does not.

- Nature keeps showing us new pages every few years, as technology develops new instruments.
  - We have had all of the Bible for centuries.

- Still, if the Bible is what it claims to be, then we should see some evidence it is right about nature.

- We do!
Some evidence

- Matthew Maury, 'pathfinder of the seas'
- S. I. McMillen, *None of These Diseases*
- John W. Montgomery, *Evidence for Faith*
- Robert C. Newman, *The Biblical Firmament*
- My PowerPoint *Astronomy and the Bible*
Matthew Maury (1806-1873)

- US Navy oceanographer, he was the first to recognize oceans as system of circulating currents.
- Got this idea from biblical picture of 'paths in the seas' (Psalm 8:8).
- Thinking through what a path does on land (makes travel easier, faster), he began to investigate travel time by sea.
- His massive examination of ships' logbooks led to making charts for winds and currents.
- Came to be called 'the pathfinder of the seas.'
McMillen, *None of These Diseases*

Contrasts Bible on medical matters with other ancient ideas:

- Contagion and quarantine
- Cleanliness and spread of disease
- Circumcision and cancer
- Life style and health
- Mental health
Montgomery, *Evidence for Faith*

- 22 chapters on various sorts of evidence for the truth of Christianity
- 4 chapters on medical evidences by Dr. William J. Cairney, Professor of Biology at the Air Force Academy:
  - What is Life?
  - Hebrew Dietary Laws
  - Pride & Prejudice in Science
  - How’s Your Lifestyle?
Newman, *The Biblical Firmament*

- Responding to the claim that the Bible teaches the sky is a solid dome.
- Shows that this view is a result of assigning an ancient view to the Bible without warrant, and of mistranslation of several passages.
Newman, *Astronomy and the Bible*

Compares Bible with ancient ideas and modern science re:

- Size of the Universe
- Number of Stars
- Support of the Earth
- Shape of the Earth
Christianity as a Basis for Modern Science

Without claiming any intellectual superiority for the scientists of the Renaissance and Baroque periods over their ancient and medieval European predecessors or over Oriental philosophers, one has to recognize as a simple fact that 'classical modern science' arose only in the western part of Europe in the 16th and 17th centuries .... from this point on, anyone with the necessary talent may help build up science on solidly established foundations. Scientists from nations whose own culture did not give birth to anything like modern science have already made valuable contributions to it. Western people who have lost all contact with the religion of their forefathers continue in their scientific activities the tradition inherited from them. R. Hooykas, *Religion and the Rise of Modern Science*, 161
The confrontation of Graeco-Roman culture with biblical religion engendered, after centuries of tension, a new science. This science preserved the indispensable parts of the ancient heritage (mathematics, logic, methods of observation and experimentation), but it was directed by different social and methodological conceptions, largely stemming from a biblical worldview. Metaphorically speaking, whereas the bodily ingredients of science may have been Greek, its vitamins and hormones were biblical. Hooykas, *Religion and the Rise of Modern Science*, 162
Creation & Modern Cosmology

For the scientist who has lived by his faith in the power of reason, the story ends like a bad dream. He has scaled the mountains of ignorance; he is about to conquer the highest peak; as he pulls himself over the final rock, he is greeted by a band of theologians who have been there for centuries. Robert Jastrow, God and the Astronomers, 116.
Some Conclusions

- Yes, there has been conflict between science & Christianity, just as there has been conflict within Christianity and within science.

- No, there is no need to see this as necessary conflict, so long as one does not define science so as to rule out the supernatural and miraculous in the history of the universe.
For further reading

The End

… of this talk. The conflict will not end any time soon.